


<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/641,704	SOTO, JOSE A.	

<b>All Participants:</b>	<b>Status of Application:</b> _____
(1) <u>Mina Haghigatian</u> .	(3) _____.
(2) <u>Jesus Sanchezima</u> .	(4) _____.
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>5 June 2006</u>	<b>Time:</b> _____
<b>Type of Interview:</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description:	
<b>Part I.</b>	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
Claims discussed:	
All	
Prior art documents discussed:	
<b>Part II.</b>	
<b>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:</b>	
See Continuation Sheet	
<b>Part III.</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)	
_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Applicant to discuss possible amendments which can place the claims in condition for allowance. Examiner suggested cancelling claim 4, because it was not further limiting claim 1, deleting the term "virucidal" from claims 1 and 8 and to alter claim 8 so that it depends on claim 1. Also typing error in claim 8 and dependency in claim 5 needed correction. Mr. Sanchelima agreed to all suggestions and it was decided that an Examiner's Amendment would reflect the Amendments.